The Growing Importance of IP in Corporate Mergers and Acquisitions The New 600 Pound Gorilla?

J. Derek Mason

James A. Thomas

Oblon, Spivak, McClelland, Maier & Neustadt, P.C. Parker Poe Adams & Bernstein L.L.P.



February 2, 2006



Old Joke: Q: "Where does a 600-pound gorilla sleep?"

2

Oblon Spivak IcClellant Maier Neustadt Old Joke: Q: "Where does a 600-pound gorilla sleep?"

A: "Anywhere he wants!"

3

Spivak IcClellane Maier Neustadt

OVERVIEW

I.	Current Trends
II.	What is Due Diligence?

IP Due Diligence

III.

- Patents Α.
- Β. **Trade Secrets**
- C. Trademarks
- Copyrights D.

IV. **Issues to Consider**

- Seller or Buyer? Α.
- Chain of Title Β.
- **Domestic and Foreign File Reviews** C.
- Other issues D.

"Intellectual property and intangible assets continue to drive the world's business."

> from "Valuation of Intellectual Property and Intangible Assets" Third Ed. by Gordon V. Smith and Russell L. Parr, John Wiley & Sons, New York (2000), p. ix

> > 5

Oblon Spivak McClellane Maier & Neustadt bc.

"Corporations that properly manage intellectual property and intangible assets will be in commanding positions of economic power...The have-nots will surely fade away unless they can gain access to patented technology and wellregarded trademarks...Only a proprietary technological advantage or a well-regarded trademark can save a corporation from mediocrity."

> from "Valuation of Intellectual Property and Intangible Assets" Third Ed. by Gordon V. Smith and Russell L. Parr, John Wiley & Sons, New York (2000), p. 10

OBLON

MATER

"The attitude toward intellectual property licensing has shifted....Recently we have seen acquisitions that were driven primarily by a desire to capture ownership of patents and trademarks. ...Initially focusing on the value of underutilized assets..., the focus has shifted and is more enlightened. Underutilization of intangible assets is no longer tolerated."

> from "Valuation of Intellectual Property and Intangible Assets" Third Ed. by Gordon V. Smith and Russell L. Parr, John Wiley & Sons, New York (2000), p. 10

OBLON

MAILER

"For the first time in human history the world's wealthiest man [Bill Gates] owns only knowledge."

> from "Valuation of Intellectual Property and Intangible Assets" Third Ed. by Gordon V. Smith and Russell L. Parr, John Wiley & Sons, New York (2000), p. 11

Oblon Spivak McClellane Maier & Neustadt bc.

May 1998: Volkswagen AG buys Rolls-Royce Motor Cars from Vickers P.L.C.

- VW paid \$780 million for the company
- But VW did not get the name Rolls-Royce
- BMW AG bought the Rolls-Royce name from Rolls-
- Royce P.L.C. for just \$66 million.
- VW stock dropped Dm3.5 per share on the day after the announcement
 - BMW stock rose Dm37 per share the same day

Oblon Spivak McClelland Maier & Neustadt bc.

from "Hidden Value: Profiting from the intellectual property economy", ed. Bruce Berman, Euromoney Publications, PLC (1999), p. 13

Corporate value allocations for IP and intangible assets:

H. J. Heinz 81% Johnson & Johnson 86% Merck & Co 82% Microsoft 95% 76% 3M **Philip Morris** 82% Nike 82% **Proctor & Gamble** 84%

Oblon Spivak IcClelland Maier & Neustadt

from "Hidden Value: Profiting from the intellectual property economy", ed. Bruce Berman, Euromoney Publications, PLC (1999), pp. 69-78

What is Due Diligence?

- A legal analysis to determine if there are any outstanding legal issues with respect to a property to be acquired by a client that could affect the value of the property or the validity of the transaction.
- Example: real estate title search

- can be relatively straightforward if purchasing a single patent, or very complex if purchasing an entire company.
- need to determine (i) scope of analysis to be done, (ii) issues to be investigated, and (iii) depth of investigation, in relation to the budget for the transaction and the strategic importance of the IP assets.

Oblon Spivak IcClellan Maier

 IP Attorney and Corporate M&A Attorney must collaborate to ensure that any issues identified during due diligence are resolved and/or attended to in M/A Agreement with appropriate reps and warranties



- Trade Secrets v. Patent
 - Kept secret?
 - Applied for?

Trade Secret Patent

- Trade Secret
 - Is it a trade secret?
 - ✓ Check confidentiality agreements
 - Term limits? (deal proposals)
 - Non-disclosure & non-use?

Oblon Spivak AcClellani Maier Neustadt

- Is it a trade secret?
 - ✓ Check confidentiality agreements
 - ✓ Check company security practices
 - Computer access
 - Physical security
 - ✓ Check handling of former employees with access

- Patents
 - Right to Exclude; Not Right to Use
 - Design & Utility (Utility Model)
 - Applications?
 - ✓ Check whether provisional
 - ✓ Check timing
 - ✓ Check substance with patent attorney
 - Issued Patents
 - ✓ Check substance with patent attorneys
 - ✓ Check patent details
 - ✓ Review application files
 - ✓ Foreign issues

Spivak IcClellani Maier Neustadt

Trademarks

- Applications and Registrations
 - ✓ Check details
 - ✓ Review files
- Impact of local laws
 - ✓ Unregistered rights v. registered rights
 - ✓ Use requirements?

Oblon Spivak AcClellani Maier Neustadt

- Copyrights (Berne Convention)
 - Ideas v. Expression
 - Automatically arises, but not automatically maintained
 - ✓ Check important works
 - Text
 - Online content
 - Logos
 - Audio-visual material
 - Designs and specifications

Oblon Spivak McClellani Maier Neustadt

- Copyrights (Berne Convention)
 - Ideas v. Expression

Oblon Spivak IcClellan Maier

- Automatically arises, but not automatically maintained
 - ✓ Check if it is a derivative work & confirm underlying works
 - ✓ Foreign rights (even if owner not aware of them)
- Database Rights (European Union)

Buyer vs. Seller Issues

- For Seller: Be careful with overbroad representations
 - That relate to "all copyrights, trade dress, etc." covering even assets that may be unregistered
 - That speak to "ownership and/or validity"; Patents?? Trademarks (only with respect to goods in registration)! Copyrights (unregistered rights; derivative works; etc.) Database Rights (if covers EU)

Buyer vs. Seller Issues

- For Buyer: Need as much of the detail covered as possible; capture all relevant or commercially significant IP even if not registered
 - Secure appropriate representations about ownership
 - Trademarks—Use is/was continuous, fbo owner, etc.

OBLON

MAIER

- Don't forget about foreign rights if at all relevant to future of arrangement
- Don't assume an assignment document means you successfully acquired the rights you believe you acquired!
- Contract must explicitly include the transferal of the right to sue for past infringement.

Chain of Title Issues – Trade Secrets

- Was everyone with access to trade secret under obligation of confidentiality (in writing?) or duty of secrecy
- Were reasonable measures taken by seller to maintain confidentiality of trade secret?

- Need to perform an Assignment search in the U.S.P.T.O.
- Potential issues: Did all inventors assign their rights? Are there other co-owners not selling to the purchaser?
- Breaks or branches in the chain of title

OBLON

MAILER

 Did the inventors sign the Assignment and date it concurrently with or after the date each corresponding inventor signed the Patent Declaration?

- If any inventors did not sign Assignment, are they obligated to assign to the seller?
- Are the missing inventors still available?
- Was completed Assignment recorded in U.S.P.T.O.?

Oblon Spivak IcClellan Maier Did Assignment also assign foreign rights?

- Are any security agreements recorded in the U.S.P.T.O.? In the appropriate location in accordance with Article 9 (or 9A)?
- If so, are the underlying loans paid off? Has release been obtained?
- Are the patents already licensed? (Exclusive or nonexclusive)?
- Must include a clause in the contract regarding the disclosure of licenses as no requirement to record licenses in the U.S.P.T.O.

- Any employment agreements that reserve any rights/co-ownership for the inventors?
- Any other co-ownership due to joint purchases or joint development agreements? If so, who pays for prosecution and maintenance fees?
- Any corporate name changes in the chain of title? Are they properly recorded?

Chain of Title Issues - Trademarks

Trademarks

Check record owners

Are all users subject to control of record owner?

- License agreements
- Parent/subsidiary okay, but not sisters

All assignments valid?

Naked assignments

Assignments of ITU applications
 Check domain names owned
 Check foreign rights

Oblon Spivak IcClellan Maier Neustadt

Chain of Title Issues - Copyrights

- Copyrights: biggest issue of ten ownership
 Automatically arises in any <u>individual</u> contributing to work
 - Work for hire
 - Third party contractors: ask same questions of them until ownership can be traced from each contributing "individual"
 - Registrations: record ownership
 - Deposit requirements
- Use of third party material with permission?

OBLON

MAIER

- All USPTO deadlines timely met?
- Was a non-publication request made? If so, was the application subsequently filed in a foreign country? Was the non-publication request properly rescinded?

- Was co-pendency maintained for any Divisionals, Continuations and all foreign cases filed timely?
- Any indications of an intentional abandonment?
- Maintenance fees paid?



 Are there any imminent deadlines for pending applications? Contract should include explicit language designating which party is responsible for meeting such deadlines.

- Was duty of disclosure met?
 - Remember references cited in corresponding cases, any relevant information found in file

- Is the patent or application currently the subject of any litigation, interference, or reexamination proceeding?
- Any challenges to the validity of any of the claims? Have all claims been upheld?



- Are all U.S. provisional priority documents assigned and are assignments recorded?
- Does the U.S. provisional application include at least one claim? (Required by French law).

- Were the priority document and power of attorney filed at the appropriate time?
- Were all other due dates met (Read correspondence to determine if there are any country specific requirements, i.e. India, Hong Kong) ?



- Any imminent deadlines for foreign applications?
- Maintenance fees/annuities paid?

- Foreign duties of disclosure met (i.e. Australia, Canada, Israel)?
- Does client correspondence include reference to a disclosure that is not a §102(b) bar but could be a bar under foreign law?



Other Issues

Representations and Warranties

 Consider each situation after review of due diligence
 Representations of "title" will include all the issues of ownership and validity; may be implied in any event

Other Issues

- Licenses transferable?
- Joint development agreements?
- Any pending defensive litigation? Any current threats to sue? Defensive opinions prepared?

More Detailed Issues

- Preparation of clearance, infringement or validity opinions for the new business
- Keep eyes peeled for any other issues that could impact on ownership, validity, enforceability, etc.

Thank You!