POST GRANT REVIEW PROCEEDINGS IN THE PTO



STEPHEN G. KUNIN PARTNER

COMPOSITION

- DIRECTOR
- DEPUTY DIRECTOR
- COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
- COMMISSIONER FOR TRADEMARKS
- APJ



<u>APJ</u>

- LEGAL COMPETENCY
- SCIENTIFIC ABILITY
- APPOINTED BY SECRETARY OF COMMERCE WITH DIRECTOR CONSULTATION (COVERS PRIOR APPOINTMENTS)



<u>DUTIES</u>

- REVIEW ADVERSE PATENTABILITY
 DECISIONS OF EXAMINERS
- APPEALS OF REEXAMINATIONS
- CONDUCT DERIVATION PROCEEDINGS
- CONDUCT IPR AND PGR



<u>3-MEMBER PANELS</u> • DESIGNATED BY DIRECTOR



INTER PARTES REEXAMINATION TRANSITION

THRESHOLD SHOWING

- SNQ REPLACED
- NEW STANDARD: REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD REQUESTER WOULD PREVAIL WITH RESPECT TO AT LEAST ONE CHALLENGED CLAIM



INTER PARTES REEXAMINATION TRANSITION

EFFECTIVE DATE

- DATE OF ENACTMENT (9/16/11)
- ALL IP REEXAM REQUESTS FILED BEFORE EFFECTIVE DATE OF IPR (9/16/12)



<u>REQUESTER</u>

- ANYONE BUT PATENT OWNER
- SINCE IT IS INTER PARTES DIRECTOR CANNOT MAKE REQUEST



FEES

- DIRECTOR SETS BY REGULATION
- PAID BY REQUESTER
- COVERS AGGREGATE COSTS OF PGR TO PTO



<u>SCOPE</u>

- SEEK CANCELLATION OF ONE OR MORE CLAIMS
- GROUNDS OF INVALIDITY
 - 101
 - 102



- 103
- 112 (EXCEPT FOR BEST MODE)
- 251
- DOUBLE PATENTING



FILING DEADLINE

- NOT LATER THAN NINE MONTHS
- AFTER PATENT OR BROADENED
 REISSUE PATENT ISSUANCE



CANCELLATION PETITION

- FEE
- REAL PARTIES IN INTEREST IDENTIFIED
- EACH CLAIM CHALLENGED
- BASIS OF UNPATENTABILITY



- EVIDENCE (WITH COPIES TO PATENT OWNER)
 - COPIES OF PATENTS AND PRINTED PUBLICATIONS
 - AFFIDAVITS, DECLARATIONS, OPINIONS
 OF EXPERTS



- OTHER INFORMATION AS DIRECTOR REQUIRES
- DIRECTOR TO MAKE PETITION
 AVAILABLE TO PUBLIC



PRELIMINARY RESPONSE

- PATENT OWNER RESPONSE
- TIME PERIOD SET BY RULE



INSTITUTION OF PGR

- AT LEAST ONE OR MORE CLAIMS MORE LIKELY THAN NOT ARE UNPATENTABLE
- AFTER CONSIDERATION OF
 REBUTTAL EVIDENCE



- NOVEL OR UNSETTLED LEGAL QUESTION IMPORTANT TO OTHER PATENTS OR PATENT APPLICATIONS
- DECISION ON PETITION WITHIN THREE MONTHS



- PRELIMINARY RESPONSE
- LAST DATE RESPONSE MAY BE FILED IF NO RESPONSE
- NOTICE TO PARTIES AND PUBLIC
 WITH START DATE OF REVIEW
- DETERMINATION IS NONAPPEALABLE



RELATION TO OTHER PROCEEDINGS PRIOR DJ ACTION BY PETITIONER (NOT A COUNTERCLAIM)

BARS PGR



DJ ACTION BY PETITIONER ON OR AFTER PETITION

- STAY OF DJ ACTION UNTIL
 - PATENT OWNER MOVES TO LIFT STAY
 - PATENT OWNER SUES OR COUNTERCLAIMS PGR INFRINGEMENT



– PETITIONER MOVES TO DISMISS DJ ACTION



PRELIMINARY INJUNCTIONS

- IF PATENT OWNER FILES SUIT FOR INFRINGEMENT WITHIN THREE MONTHS OF PATENT GRANT
 - NO STAY OF PI MOTION

– IF IPR OR PGR FILED



DIRECTOR MAY CONSOLIDATE <u>MULTIPLE PGR REQUESTS</u> <u>REGARDING SAME PATENT</u> <u>MULTIPLE PROCEEDINGS</u>

 STAY, TRANSFER, CONSOLI- DATION, OR TERMINATION



 MAY CHOOSE NOT TO GRANT PGR IF ART OR ARGUMENTS PREVIOUSLY PRESENTED IN PRIOR PROCEEDINGS



ESTOPPEL

 IF PETITIONER LOSES IN FINAL PTAB DETERMINATION IN PGR PETITIONER CANNOT REQUEST OR MAINTAIN PTO PROCEEDING ON GROUND RAISED OR REASONABLY COULD HAVE BEEN RAISED DURING PGR



 IF PETITIONER LOSES FINAL PTAB DETERMINATION IN PGR, CANNOT ASSERT IN CIVIL ACTION OR ITC INVALIDITY DEFENSE ON GROUND RAISED OR REASONABLY COULD HAVE BEEN RAISED IN PGR



CONDUCT OF PGR BY REGULATIONS

- PUBLIC FILE SUBJECT TO SEALED DOCUMENTS
- SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION
- LIMITED DISCOVERY



- SANCTIONS
- PROTECTIVE ORDERS
- MOTION TO AMEND OR SUBSTITUTE NEW PATENT CLAIMS
- ORAL HEARING



- FINAL DETERMINATION 12-18 MONTHS
- PETITIONER OPPORTUNITY TO FILE WRITTEN COMMENTS



<u>AMENDMENT</u>

- CANCEL CLAIM
- PROPOSE SUBSTITUTE CLAIMS FOR EACH CHALLENGED CLAIM
- ONE OR MORE MOTIONS
- NO CLAIM SCOPE ENLARGEMENT
- NO NEW MATTER



STANDARDS

- BROADEST REASONABLE CLAIM INTERPRETATION
- PREPONDERANCE OF EVIDENCE



<u>SETTLEMENT</u>

- TERMINATION ON JOINT REQUEST PRIOR TO PTO DECISION
- NO ESTOPPEL IF TERMINATED
- MAY TERMINATE IF PETITIONER DROPS OUT



 SETTLEMENT FILED WITH PTO, BUT KEPT SEPARATE FROM FILE UPON REQUEST



DECISION BY PTAB

- FINAL DECISION ON PATENTABILITY
- CERTIFICATE PUBLISHED
- INTERVENING RIGHTS
 - ABSOLUTE
 - EQUITABLE



APPLIES TO AMENDED OR NEW CLAIMS PUBLICATION OF PGR PENDENCY DATA



<u>APPEAL</u>

- APPEAL TO FED. CIRCUIT
- EITHER PARTY



EFFECTIVE DATE

- ONE YEAR FROM DATE OF ENACTMENT (9/16/12)
- LIMITATION ON NUMBER FOR FIRST FOUR YEARS
- APPLIES TO PATENTS WITH CLAIMED PRIORITY AFTER 3/16/13



PENDING INTERFERENCES

- DISMISSED IN FAVOR OF PGR
- PROCEED



INTER PARTES REVIEW

- FILED BY ANYONE OTHER THAN PATENT OWNER
- EXCLUDES DIRECTOR SINCE IT IS INTER PARTES
- FEE BASED ON AGGREGATE COSTS TO PTO FOR REVIEW



- UNPATENTABILITY OF AT LEAST ONE CLAIM
- LIMITED TO PATENTS AND PRINTED
 PUBLICATIONS UNDER 102 OR 103



FILED AFTER LATER OF

- NINE MONTHS AFTER PATENT OR REISSUE GRANT
- DATE OF TERMINATION OF PGR



PETITION (AVAILABLE TO PUBLIC)

- FEE
- REAL PARTIES IN INTEREST
- CLAIMS CHALLENGED
- GROUNDS OF UNPATENTABILITY



EVIDENCE

- COPIES OF PATENTS AND PRINTED PUBLICATIONS
- AFFIDAVITS, DECLARATIONS, EXPERT OPINIONS
- COPIES TO PATENT OWNER
- OTHER REQUIREMENTS BY RULE



PRELIMINARY PATENT OWNER RESPONSE

- TIME PERIOD SET BY DIRECTOR
- REASONS WHY IPR PETITION SHOULD BE DENIED



INSTITUTION OF IPR

- REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD THAT
 PETITIONER WOULD PREVAIL
- WITH THREE MONTHS
 - PRELIMINARY RESPONSE
 - LAST DATE PRELIMINARY RESPONSE CAN BE FILED IF NONE



NOTICE TO PARTIES AND PUBLIC
DECISION NOT APPEALABLE



RELATION TO OTHER PROCEEDINGS

- INFRINGER'S CIVIL ACTION
 - NO IPR IF PRIOR DJ ACTION FILED BY PETITIONER
 - IF DJ ACTION FILED ON OR AFTER PETITION FILED CIVIL ACTION STAYED UNTIL



PATENT OWNER SEEKS TO LIFT STAY PATENT OWNER FILES INFRINGEMENT SUIT OR COUNTER CLAIM DETITIONED OFFICE TO DIOMICO DUACTION

>PETITIONER SEEKS TO DISMISS DJ ACTION



PATENT OWNER ACTION

- NO IPR IF > ONE YEAR AFTER SERVICE OF INFRINGEMENT COMPLAINT
- TIME LIMIT DOES NOT APPLY TO JOINDER PARTY



MULTIPLE PROCEEDINGS

 DIRECTOR MAY STAY, TRANSFER, CONSOLIDATE OR TERMINATE IPR OR OTHER PROCEEDINGS



ESTOPPEL

 IF LOSE PTAB FINAL DETERMINATION MAY NOT REQUEST OR MAINTAIN OFFICE PROCEEDING THAT PETITIONER RAISED OR REASONABLY COULD HAVE RAISED AS GROUND OF UNPATENTABILITY AS TO THAT CLAIM



 IF LOSE PTAB FINAL DETERMINATION CIVIL ACTION OR ITC ESTOPPEL AGAINST INVALIDITY DEFENSE ON GROUND THAT WAS RAISED OR COULD HAVE BEEN RAISED IN IPR



CONDUCT OF IPR (RULES)

- PUBLIC, EXCEPT FOR SEALED DOCUMENTS
- SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION
- DISCOVERY INCLUDING DEPOSITIONS OF AFFIANTS OR DECLARANTS



- SANCTIONS
- PROTECTIVE ORDERS
- AMENDMENTS OF CLAIMS ON MOTION
- ORAL HEARING
- FINAL DETERMINATION 12-18 MONTHS



- JOINDER
- PETITIONER COMMENTS



<u>PTAB</u>

- MOTIONS TO CANCEL OR SUBSTITUTE CLAIMS
- NO ENLARGEMENT OF CLAIM SCOPE
- NO NEW MATTER
- PREPONDERANCE OF EVIDENCE



<u>SETTLEMENT</u>

- TERMINATION ON JOINT REQUEST PRIOR TO PTAB DECISION
- NO ESTOPPEL
- TERMINATE IF PETITIONER DROPS OUT



 FILED WITH PTO AND KEPT SEPARATE FROM FILE ON REQUEST



PTAB FINAL DECISION

- CERTIFICATE PUBLISHED
- INTERVENING RIGHTS REGARDING NEW OR
 AMENDED CLAIM
 - ABSOLUTE
 - EQUITABLE
- PUBLIC PENDENCY DATA



EFFECTIVE

- ONE YEAR DATE OF ENACTMENT 9/16/12
- APPLIES TO ALL PATENTS
- DIRECTOR MAY LIMIT NUMBER IN FIRST FOUR YEARS
 IF EXCEED FY 2010 IP REEXAM NUMBER FILED



- ONE YEAR AFTER ENACTMENT DATE (9/16/12)
- PGR PROCEDURES, EXCEPT
 - NO REQUIREMENT TO FILE WITHIN NINE MONTHS OF PATENT/ REISSUE ISSUANCE
 - JUDGE MAY STAY PI



- NO CIVIL ACTION ESTOPPEL
- NO LIMITATION TO BROADENED REISSUES
- PETITIONER MUST BE SUED FOR OR CHARGED WITH INFRINGEMENT



- FOR PETITIONS FILED BASED ON OLD 102 AND 103
 - 102(a)
 - 102(b) BASED ON THIRD PARTY DISCLOSURE
- CIVIL ACTION AND ITC ESTOPPEL AS TO GROUND RAISED IN TBMP PROGAM



- RETROACTIVE
- EXCEPTION FOR PATENTS SUBJECT TO PGR
- EIGHT YEAR SUNSET AFTER REGS ISSUED
- WITH THOSE FILED PRIOR TO SUNSET STILL ELIGIBLE



<u>STAYS</u>

- SIMPLIFY ISSUES AND STREAMLINE TRIAL
- IF NO DISCOVERY COMPLETE AND TRIAL DATE SET
- NO UNDUE PREJUDICE



- REDUCE LITIGATION BURDEN
- RIGHT TO INTERLOCUTORY APPEAL TO FED. CIR. OF STAY DECISION



DEFINITION

- METHOD OR MACHINE FOR FINANCIAL PRODUCTS OR SERVICES
- CANNOT BE TECHNOLOGICAL INVENTION



THANK YOU

IF YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS, YOU CAN REACH ME AT: 703-412-6011 SKUNIN@OBLON.COM

