Patent Reform: First Inventor To File Provision



Presented By: Stephen G. Kunin, Partner For Strafford Webinar November 15, 2011

LEGISLATION TIMELINE



LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVES

PROSECUTION:

- First Inventor To File (§ 3)
- Best Mode (§ 15)
- Priority Examination (§ 25)
- Assignee Filing (§ 4)
- Third Party Submissions (§ 8)
- USPTO Changes

OBLON SPIVAK

- Fee Setting (§ 11)
- Funding (§ 22)
- Satellite Offices (§ 23)

POST GRANT PROCEEDINGS:

- Post-Grant Review (§ 6)
- Inter Partes Review (§ 6)
- Supplemental Examination (§ 12)
- Transitional Program for Business Method Patents (§ 18)

LITIGATION:

- Prior User Rights (§ 5)
- Marking (§ 16)
- Advice of Counsel (§ 17)



All the questions raised by the America Invents Act will not be answered this year

Current law was written in <u>1952</u>

Hazeltine Research, Inc. v. Brenner (Sup. Ct. <u>1965</u>) (Applying 102(e) prior art to obviousness analysis

◆In re Hilmer (CCPA <u>1966</u>) (denying prior art effect under 102(e) to a foreign priority date)

Pfaff v. Wells Electronics. (Sup. Ct. <u>1998</u>), (a reduction to practice is not required for a 102(b) "on sale" bar





Oblon Spivak

PROSECUTION







First Inventor To File: Effective Date



9

First Inventor To File: Effective Date



Oblon Spivak

First Inventor To File: Effective Date



§ 102. Conditions for patentability; novelty

(a) NOVELTY; PRIOR ART.—A person shall be entitled to a patent unless—

(1) the claimed invention was patented, described in a printed publication, or in public use, on sale, or otherwise available to the public <u>before the</u> <u>effective filing date</u> of the claimed invention; or



Includes foreign priority date and

provisional application filing date.

May require English translation of

priority document.

131 Declarations to show an earlier

§ 102. Conditions for patentability: payalty Practice Notes:

(a) NOVELTY; PRIOR AF to a patent unless—

(1) the claimed inverse date of invention will no longer be available a printed public from, or public before the or otherwise available to the public before the effective filing date of the claimed invention; or



led

§ 102. Cond

(a) NOVELTY to a pater

> OBLON SPIVAK

Practice Note:

The publication does not need to be actually "printed". The publication can be published on any medium, such as electronic

shall be entitled

novelty

(1) the claim a invention was patented, described in a printed publication, or in public use, on sale, or otherwise available to the public before the effective filing date of the claimed invention; or

Practice Notes:

1) Anywhere in the World!

2) By anyone (not limited to "others")

Open questions:

 Does "public use" include a secret commercial use of the claimed invention by the inventor – i.e., is *Metallizing Engineering Co. v. Kenyon Bearing & Auto Parts Co.*, 153
F.2d 516 (2d Cir. 1946) and the jurisprudence relying on that case overruled)?

2) Does "on sale" include non-public offers for sales (private, confidential) by applicant?

(a) NOVELTY; ³⁾ to a patent

§ 102. Condi

Practice note: It may be safer to assume that the answer is "yes" until CAFC address these issues

(<u>1</u>) the claimed invention was patent , described in a printed publication, or <u>in public use, on sale</u>, or otherwise available to the public before the effective filing date of the claimed invention; or



§ 102. Conditions for patentability; novelty

OBLON SPIVAK

(a) NOVELTY; PRIOR ART.—A person shall be entitled to a patent unless—

(<u>1</u>) the claimed invention was patented, described in a printed publication, or in public use, on sale, or <u>otherwise available to the public</u> before the effective filing date of the claimed invention; or

> Practice Note: Probably includes oral presentations at conferences by anyone



§ 102. Conditions for patentability; novelty

OBLON SPIVAK

- (a) NOVELTY; PRIOR ART.—A person shall be entitled to a patent unless—
- (2) the claimed invention was described in a patent issued under section 151, or in an application for patent published or deemed published under section 122(b), in which the patent or application, as the case may be, <u>names another inventor</u> and was effectively filed <u>before</u> <u>the effective filing date</u> of the claimed invention.

Practice Note:

This provision only applies to **U.S.** patents **U.S.** published applications, and published PCT applications that designate the U.S.

§ 102. Conditions for patentability; novelty

OBLON

SPIVAK

- (a) NOVELTY; PRIOR ART.—A person shall be entitled to a patent unless—
- (2) the claimed invention was described in a patent issued under section 151, or in an application for patent published or <u>deemed published under section 122(b)</u>, in which the patent or an vication, as the case may be, names another inven the effective filing da

Practice Notes:

This provision applies to published PCT applications that designate the U.S. (see 35 USC 374). **No more language requirement**: can file PCT in language other than English and create prior art under 102(a)(2)

§ 102. Conditions for patentability; novelty

OB

SPI

- (a) NOVELTY; PRIOR ART.—A person shall be entitled to a patent unless—
- (2) the claimed invention was described in a patent issued under section 151, or in an application for patent published or deemed published under section 122(b), in which the patent or application, as the case may be, names another inventor and <u>was effectively filed</u> before the effective filing date of the claime

Practice Note:

U.S. patents, U.S. published applications, and published PCT applications designating the U.S. become prior art as of their earliest filing dates, <u>including foreign priority</u> (The *Hilmer* Doctrine is repealed). See new 102(d).

No need to file provisional applications for foreign applicants

§ 102. Conditions for patentability; novelty

OBLON

SPIVAK

- (a) NOVELTY; PRIOR ART.—A person shall be entitled to a patent unless—
- (2) the claimed invention was described in a patent issued under section 151, or in an application for patent published or deemed published under section 122(b), in which the patent or application, as the case may be, names another inventor and <u>was effectively filed</u> before the effective filing date of the med invention.

Practice Note:

U.S. patents, U.S. published applications, and published PCT applications designating the U.S. become prior art as of their earliest filing dates <u>for both novelty and non-</u> <u>obviousness</u>

§ 102. Conditions for patentability; novelty (cont'd)

(b) EXCEPTIONS.—

- (1) <u>DISCLOSURES</u> MADE 1 YEAR OR LESS BEFORE THE EFFECTIVE FILING DATE OF THE CLAIMED INVENTION.—A <u>disclosure</u> made <u>1 year or less</u> <u>before the</u> <u>effective filing date</u> of a claimed invention <u>shall not be prior art</u> to the claimed invention under <u>subsection (a)(1)</u> if—
- (A) the <u>disclosure</u> was made <u>by the inventor</u> or joint inventor or by another who obtained the subject matter disclosed directly or indirectly from the inventor or a joint inventor; or
- (B) the subject matter <u>disclosed</u> had, <u>before such disclosure</u>, been <u>publicly</u> <u>disclosed</u> <u>by the inventor</u> or a joint inventor or another who obtained the subject matter disclosed directly or indirectly from the inventor or a joint inventor.



§ 102. Conditions for patentability; novelty (cont'd)

(b) EXCEPTIONS.—

(1) <u>DISCLOSURES</u> MADE 1 YEAR OR LESS BEFORE THE EFFECTIVE FILING DATE OF THE CLAIMED INVENTION.—A <u>disclosure</u> made <u>1 year or less</u> <u>before the</u> <u>effective filing date</u> of a claimed invention <u>shall not be prior art</u> to the claimed invention under <u>subsection (a)(1)</u> if—

(A) the <u>disclosure</u> made <u>by the inventor</u> or joint inventor or by another who obtained the subject the disclosed directly or indirectly from the inventor or a joint inventor; or

(B) the subject matter <u>di</u> <u>disclosed</u> <u>by the inve</u> subject matter disclo inventor. Practice Note:

International grace period: one year prior to foreign priority **isclosure**, been **publicly** nother who obtained the om the inventor or a joint



- § 102. Conditions for patentability; novelty (cont'd)
- (b) EXCEPTIONS.—
- (1) <u>DISCLOSURES</u> MADE 1 YEAR OR LESS BEFORE THE EFFECTIVE FILING DATE OF THE CLAIMED INVENTION.—A <u>disclosure</u> made <u>1 year or less</u> <u>before the</u> <u>effective filing date</u> of a claimed invention <u>shall not be prior art</u> to the claimed invention under <u>subsection (a)(1)</u> if—
- (A) the <u>disclosure</u> was made <u>by the inver</u> obtained the subject matter disclose a joint inventor; or

Practice Note: "First to disclose" system

ther who e inventor or

(B) the subject matter <u>disclosed</u> had, <u>before such disclosure</u>, been <u>publicly</u> <u>disclosed</u> <u>by the inventor</u> or a joint inventor or another who obtained the subject matter disclosed directly or indirectly from the inventor or a joint inventor.



First Inventor To File 102(b)(1)(<u>A</u>): Personal grace period









First Inventor To File 102(b)(1)(B): First-to-Publish system









- § 102. Conditions for patentability; novelty (con
- (b) EXCEPTIONS (cont'd).-

Practice Note: No one year requirement

- (2) DISCLOSURES APPEARING IN APPLICATIONS AND PATENTS.—A disclosure shall not be prior art to a claimed invention under subsection (a)(2) if—
- (A) the subject matter disclosed was <u>obtained</u> directly or indirectly <u>from the inventor</u> or a joint inventor;
- (B) the subject matter disclosed had, <u>before such subject matter was effectively filed</u> under subsection (a)(2), been <u>publicly disclosed by the inventor</u> or a joint inventor or another who obtained the subject matter disclosed directly or indirectly from the inventor or a joint inventor; or
- (C) the subject matter disclosed and the claimed invention, not later than the effective filing date of the claimed invention, were <u>owned by the same person</u> or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.



First Invent

U.S. patents, U.S. published applications, and published PCT applications by others designating the U.S. become prior art as of their earliest filing dates

- § 102. Conditions for patentability; novelty (con
- (b) EXCEPTIONS (cont'd).-
- (2) DISCLOSURES APPEARING IN APPLICATIONS AN PATENTS.—A disclosure <u>shall not be</u> prior art to a claimed invention under <u>subsection (a)(2)</u> if—
- (A) the subject matter disclosed was <u>obtained</u> directly or indirectly <u>from the inventor</u> or a joint inventor;
- (B) the subject matter disclosed had, <u>before such subject matter was effectively filed</u> under subsection (a)(2), been <u>publicly disclosed by the inventor</u> or a joint inventor or another who obtained the subject matter disclosed directly or indirectly from the inventor or a joint inventor; or
- (C) the subject matter disclosed and the claimed invention, not later than the effective filing date of the claimed invention, were <u>owned by the same person</u> or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.





Example 102(b)(2)(A)



Example 102(b)(2)(A)



First Inventor To File 102(b)(2)(<u>B</u>)



OBLON

SPIVAK

Effective date of US Pat. or Pub., PCT designating U.S. naming another

Effective Filing Date of Subject Application

Not prior art under 102(a)(2) as of effective filing date –

> But, prior art as of publication date under 102(a)(1), if published more than a year before filing

Example 102(b)(2)(B)



First Inventor To File 102(b)(2)(C)

Effective date of US Pat. or Pub., PCT designating U.S. naming another, owned by same person or under obligation to assign to same person, or subject to a joint research agreement with inventor's company

Oblon Spivak R

Effective Filing Date of Subject Application

Not prior art under 102(a)(2) as of effective filing date –

But, prior art as of publication date under 102(a)(1)

Example 102(b)(2)(C)





Review of Practical Recommendations

- If any public disclosure of the invention is made before filing, file within one year
 - Even if mere oral presentation
- No more Hilmer
 - No need for foreign applicants file provisional applications
 - No need to file PCT applications in English
- Personal grace period via early disclosure
 - Can protect the applicant from disclosures by others in the US; and
 - Can hurt the applicant with respect to the novelty requirement of other countries
- Until the open questions regarding "public use" and "on sale" are answered by the CAFC
 - Don't commercially use, nor offer for sale, the invention before filing a patent application
 - Even if commercial use and sale are confidential/secret
 - Even if commercial use and sale are outside U.S.



THANK YOU

If you have any questions or comments you can send them to skunin@oblon.com.

